





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/639,486	08/14/2000	Chad A. Cobbley	3636.1US(97-1349.1)	3481
7:	590 07/17/2002			
James R Duzan Trask Britt P O Box 2550			EXAMINER PITTMAN, ZIDIA T	
Salt Lake City,	UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	0
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	Ď

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			1-D
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/639,486	COBBLEY ET AL.	•
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zidia Pittman	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	cation in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	e final rejection, whichev	orio lator In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		Same transfer
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms. Results (Res _e)
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		. 5 mp
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	ed amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)⊠ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: So	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	it(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or t vould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered low or appended.	l and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:		٠	
Claim(s) objected to: 12-14.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8-11, 15, 16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			7.
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exa	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			*
10. Other:			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection mailed on May 9, 2002.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER